((W. to be destroyed))

Previous references.
S+A 127-06, 47 & 49 J Augog
S+A 127-06 Sls 17, 18, 37, 38, 42, 43, 46 & 48 B Augog
Sarjapur g. haghir files 207

1910-11

(S+A)

File No. 307-10

Serial Nos. 36-8

Later references.
S+A 28-19 142 for Sept
S+A 20-20-4, 5, 9, 11-16.
S+A 7-26-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 3 to 8

Part B. for May 12.

SUBJECT.

Sarjapur Jaghir Family Pensions

3. from Mahomed Jaleel Khan, Bangalore
petition dy 4.5.1911.
Complains that his nephew — Mr. Gaffar Khan Sahib is not paying him anything out of the family pension (Rs 5 13-1) and prays that the stipend may be continued in his name for reasons stated.

4. To The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore
No 7E 5889 dy 30-5-11.
G. R. S. 58 Requested him to inform whether the Baraawar has failed to pay the pensions to the various persons entitled to it — and to submit a report as to the various claimants together with the amounts due to them.

5 To Mahamad Jabbarkhan, Sarjapur Jahagir pensioner. No 76037 dy 14-6-4
Informs him that his petition will be disposed of on receipt of a report from D.C. Bangalore

6. From Private Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja
No 692 dy 1st September 1911.
Forwards for disposal the petition of Ameena Bee widow of late Ayzz Khan of Sarjapur family praying for the division of the pension sanctioned in the name of Gaffarkhan

7. From the D.C. Bangalore No 144 dy 25-10-11
Submits his report on the division of the Sarjapur Jaghir pension of Rs 5 13-1 enjoyed by Gaffarkhan to the various members of the family

8 To D.C. Bangalore and Comptroller.
No FC 4934-5 dy 16th May 1912
Directs that the Sarjapur pension of Rs 5-13-1 sanctioned to M. Gaffarkhan be divided as follows:-
1) M. Gaffarkhan 4-0-0
2) M. Jabbarkhan 1-7-3
3) Ameena Bi 0-5-10

To Mrs Ameena Bee & M. Gaffarkhan
Nos FC 5047-5048 dy 25-8-12
Endorsement to the pensioners regarding the grant of pensions.

G.R.S. 11] 1910.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S/A)

NOTES.

File No. 307/10

FILE HEADING.

Sanapur Jaghir Family Pensions.

From

Mahamed Jabbar Khan, Bangalore

No. Petition Dated 4th May 1911 Received 5-5-11

Diary No. 4451 | Enclos. - | Spare Copies - | Serial No. 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Complains that his nephew — M. Gaffar Khan Sait is not paying him anything out of the family pension (Rs 5-13-1) & prays that the Stipend may be continued in his name for reasons stated.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In 1908 the petitioner - Jabbar Khan had petitioned the Amildar of Mekal & DC Bangalore for the division of the pension sanctioned to his nephew — Gaffar Khan. The DC Bangalore in his letter of 11-12-1908 recommended that the pension of Rs 5-13-1 be divided among

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—15,000—24-4-09.

Serial No.

among the present pentioner — Gaffar Khan &
the petitioner — Jabbar Khan.

2) Subsequently another claimant - viz - Amina Bi wife of Abdul Aziz Khan had petitioned Govt. the D.C. Bangalore for the payment of her husband's 4/1 share of pention & also for registering it in her name.

3) The Govt. in their letter no Jl. 899 of 5th August 1909, directed the D.C. Bangalore to warn the Barawerddar for the proper payment of the legitimate Shares due to the other members of the family etc.

4) Perhaps In Spite of the above warning, the barawarddar — Gaffar Khan appears to have been withholding the payment of the shares of pention due to Mrs, hence the present complaint from his uncle — Mahamed Jabbar Khan.

Draft Submitted, forwdg the petition to the D.C. Bangalore for report on certain points.

Mll-SS
12-5-11

13/5/11

5) Issue

13/5/11

13/5/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.	
	(6) Draft endorsement to the petitioner is submitted for approval.
	Mul SP 16-6-11.
	BMG 16/6/11
	J) Draft endorsement approved. Volell
4	To the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore no. 7L. 5889 dy 30.5.11 Requests him to inform whether the Baramahal has failed to pay the pensions to the various persons entitled to it and to submit a report as to the various claimants together with the amounts due to them.
5	To Mahamed Jabbarkhan. Vijapur jaibafir pensioner no. 7L. 6037 dy 14.6.11 Informs him that his petition will be disposed of on receipt of a report from the D.C. Bangalore

(P.T.O

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S/A File No. 307 of 1910.

Serial No.	
6	From Private Secretary do H.E. No 692 & 1st September 1911. Forwards for disposal the petition of Ameena Bi widow of late Aziz Khan of Sarjapur Family praying for the division of the pension sanctioned in the name of Gaffar Khan.
	8) Ameena Bi's petition was once before Govt (10-7-09) & the same was forwarded to D.C. Bangalore with reference to this office order No H 899 & 5-8-09.
S/A 127-06 Filed August 1909 O	
	9) Similar petition from Jabbar Khan which was forwarded to D.C. Bangalore on the 30th May 1911 for report is still pending with D.C. Bangalore, although same reminders were issued to him.
S/S: 3/4	
	10) Draft Submitted, forwarding the petition to the D.C. Bangalore in continuation of the above.
Rec'd 18-9-XI Initials	11) Why pending cont? Why no reply D.C. may immediate report inviting his attention to the various reminders already issued on the subject.
	12 Draft Reminder Submitted 13)
Rec'd 19-9-XI Initials	14) Some - Reminder D.O. upto rec'd the 23rd

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S&A File No. 307 of 1910.

Serial No.	
	Urgent Reminder No. H. 1497 of 23-9-11 to Dy: Commr, Bangalore requesting to expedite the Submission of the report.
	15) The report has not yet been Submitted by the D.C. Bangalore. Draft D.O. reminder Submitted.
	Mil-85 20-X-11 Initialled 16) Done K. Gopal
	D.O. Reminder No. H. 1702 of 24-X-11 to D.C. Bangalore for expediting the Submission of the Report.
7	From D.C. Bangalore, No. 1440 25-X-11. Submits his report on the division of the Sanjaseva Jaghir Pension of Rs. 5-13-1 enjoyed by Gaffar Khan to the various members of the family.
	17) The Deputy Commissioner States that the Barawardar Gaffar Khan has no objection to the pension (5-13-1) being divided according to Mahamedan Law, among the present claimants viz.- Gaffar Khan, his uncle Jabbar Khan & Amina Bi - wife of Abdul Aziz Khan (aunt of the barawardar Gaffar Khan) vide Genealogical Table. Submitted with a copy of Mahamedan Law by Mulla.
	Mil-87 20-X-11 Initialled 18) Bill for Opinion of Amina Bi's Vakeel

Page 3 (Paper under dispatch)

NOTE – Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

to a share of the pension under the Muhammadan Law whether the 2 [illegible] Claims are admissible.

2. XI. 11

J mis 70

Subd
SN(11)
8/11/11

19) Forwarded to the Govt Advocate for favour of noting the Extent of right of each of the claimants.

B.O.

S Dingamaiya
for Sec[y].

6/11/11.

U.O. J 28

20) For purposes of answering this reference I take it that I have to give my opinion as to who are the persons that are entitled to the property of Jalandra who died on 11. 11. 1898.

U.O. 22
8/9-11-11.

21) The following genealogical table shows the relationship.

T. T.O.

10/11/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-9[illegible]

Serial No.

22) Jalaudin (I)

Jaffar Khan (I) — Burrandin Khan

Jabbar Khan

Jalaudin II. Died on 11.11.1898 married asha Bee. — Abdul Aziz. died subsequent to 1907 - married ameena bi — ahmad khan

Jaffar Khan II & 3 other Sons & one daughter.

On the death of Jalaudin II

(1) his widow asha Bee is entitled to 1/8th as Sharer.

(2) his Sons of whom Jaffar (II) is the eldest & his daughter take the remaining 7/8 as Residuaries

(3) his brother Jabbar Khan cannot claim any interest either as Sharer or as Residuary for

(a) he can only come in as Sharer in default of

of Jalaudin II
- i a child or Son's child
- ii father
- & iii true grandfather

which is not the case here

(b) he is only a Residuary of the 3rd class, but as there are Residuaries

Serial No.

of the 1st class viz Sons he is excluded by the latter.

(4). His paternal uncle Buraundin cannot also take anything for

(a) he is not a sharer

(b) he is only a Residuary of the IV th class excluded from inheritance by Residuaries of higher classes viz Sons & brothers.

(5) Paternal uncle Buraundin's ~~widow~~ Son - Abdul ajeez. is only a Residuary of the 4th class coming after his father & as such for the reasons stated above (in no (4)) he is also excluded.

(6). Paternal uncle's Son's widow viz ameenabee has no right at all as she is not even a distant kindred.

[If she has issue by Abdul ajiz, it is a different matter as they may come in as remote Distant Kindred but as there are nearer heirs among the Residuaries as

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

shown above].

23) For the purpose of answering the reference made to me the above note is sufficient - but- under the peculiar circumstances of this case I have added another note: ~~but~~ as it is not- an ~~&~~ answer directly to the reference it is appended to this note in the form of a memo.-

Bangalore 9th Nov. 1911.

Govt: advocate

Subd SN(11) 10/11/11

M E M O.

24) If Government were to proceed strictly according to the Mahomedan Law of Inheritence Jalaudin II's widow and sons - fully represent his estate and as such the other two claimants viz Jabbarkhan and Ameena Bee must fail. From the files before me I find that the Baraward has invariably been made out on the death of the previous pensioner, not on any ---- recognised principles of Mahomedan Law, but on grounds of convenience and expediency. As such an equitable distribution of the pension now in question among the claimants may be made. In doing so Government may take into consideration the following circumstances:-

25) (1) On the death of Jalaudin I, the pension of Rs.11-10-2 which he was getting was divided into two equal shares, a --- moity being registered in the name of Gaffarkhan I and the other moity in the name of Essekhan presumably on the ground that the former (Gafferkhan I) represented his children by his first wife and the latter viz. Essekhan represented his children by the 2nd wife.

26) If according to Mahomedan Law, this sum of Rs.5-13-1 which devolved on the children by the 1st wife were distributed at once, Buranudin would have taken half of it and the other half would have been taken by Gaffarkhan.

(The interest of Kamaludin another son of Jalaudin I by his wife is excluded from consideration as he does not appear to have been referred to in any of the files, evidently because he must have died without issue).

27) On the death of Buranudin his widow Asha Bee would have taken $\frac{1}{8}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ of Rs.5-13-1 as sharer.

28) Gaffarkhan I's interest i.e. $\frac{1}{2}$ of 5-13-1 would have been devolved on his two sons Jalaudin II and Jabbarkhan, assuming in the absence of evidence to the contrary that Gaffar-

khan left behind neither shares nor Residuaries of a class higher than that to which his sons belonged.

29) Thus Jabbarkhan would have taken ½ of ½ or ¼ of 5-13-1. The other ¼ of 5-13-1 would be inherited on the death of Jalaudin II by his widow taking ⅛ as share and his sons and daughter taking the remaining ⅞ as Residuaries.

30) That is to say.

1. Ameena Bi's interest will be 1/16 of 5-13-1. = 0-5-9 13/16.
2. Jabbarkhan's interest " " ¼ of 5-13-1. = 1-7-3¼.
3. Gaffarkhan II " " " ¼ of 5-13-1. = 1-7-3¼.

(together with his mother brother and sister).

31) The balance of 7/16 of 5-13-1 = 2-8-8 11/16 which would have gone to Abdul Aziz and his bracnh may be given to Gaffar and his branch of the family for the following reasons:-

(1) the Baraward has been made out in the name of Gaffar 11 years back and he has been in the enjoyment of the whole pension of 5-13-1 ever since.

(2) He has 3 brothers and one sister and a mother to look up to him for help.

(3) Jabbarkhan has been sleeping over his rights, if he had any at all for nearly 10 years.

(4) that if the pension should be considered on the footing of his exclusive property of Jalaudin II Burandin and Ameena will be altogether excluded. See

(5) The other son of Burandin if at all alive has not come forward to claim anything.. I would therefore recommend that the pension may be thus devided with the consent of all the 3 parties concerned.

(1) Ameena Bee. . . . Rs.0-5-10.
(2) ~~Burandin~~ Jabbarkhan - - 1-7- 3.
(3) Gaffarkhan & his branch 4-0- 0.

5-13-1

Bangalore 9-11-1911

Govt. advocate

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S+A File No. 307 of 1910.

Serial No.

Subd
SR
10/11

32)

10/11/11.

33)

Draft. Submitted, according to the division proposed by the Govt. Advocate in his notes of 9-XI-11 (Page 11), for orders.

Mll-SD
14-XI-11

34) The devolution should be strictly according to the Muhammadan Law Regime, ~~otherwise~~ and so the claimant Amina bi Ammal pleaded.

(Seen) 25)

The views contained in the memo or second note of the Govt. Advocate is in consonance with the practice in regard to these joint pensions registered pro forma for sake of convenience in the name of one member of the family - If the strict rules of the Mahomedan Law are to be applied we must

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

petitioners carry the application back to the date when Jalaluddin I died & not further.

86) Dewan) CRB 4/11/12

14602 / 29/11/12

keep pm 29.11.12)

Draft approved by Dewan 8.5.12

35) Issue

CRB 11/5/12

8 To H. Bawlalar Mansharder, No H. 4934-5 d/ 16th May 1912.

Directs that the Sanjeshan Pension of ~~Rs~~ 5-13-1 Sanctioned to M. Gaffar Khan be divided as follows:—

1). M. Gaffar Khan — 4-0-0
2). M. Jabbar Khan — 1-7-3
3). Ameena Bi — 0-5-10.

37) Draft endorsements to petitioners and Sheristd.

Nil-SD 22.5.19 40) Done 22/5/12

21-5-XII To M/s: Ameena Bee & M. Jabbar Khan Nos. H. 5047 & 5048 d/ 25.5.12

Endorsement to the petitioners relancing the grant of pensions.

GPB—55,000—27-4-19

D2451

Sec 307-10

Sno. 3

Bangalore,

Dated the 6th May 1911.

To

C.S.Balasundram Iyer, Esqr, B.A.,

Officiating Secretary to the Govrt.

of H.H.The Maharaja of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Most Respected Sir,

I most respectfully and humbly beg to submit that Mohamad Jalaludin khan, my own grandfather was in receipt of Sharjapur jahgir stipendiary to the amount of Rs.11-11-0. After his demise my father Mohamad Gaffar Khan Sahib had been equally receiving Rs.5-13-1. In the year 1875 Mohamad Gaffar khan Sahib died and his stipend was transferred to Mohamad Jalaludin khan Sahib my ~~own~~ brother. Whilst Plague was ragingin Bangalore in 1898 he also Passed away. Till that time both of us had been living together. After my brother's demise as the plague was ragingin Bangalore I had to leave the Town and seek refuge elsewhere. After I returned to this place I was given to understand that the stipend referred to had been granted to Mohamad Gaffar khan Sahib my nephew. From the date he got the stipend I demanded from him for it till 12th March 1908. As the same was of no effect I was obliged to submit petitions upto date to the Amuldar of Anekal Taluk and to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District to continue the stipend referred to in my name but am sorry to state that nothing has been done about it as yet.

P. T. O.

I beg to submit that in this season of scarcity I have to support a large family with a scanty income. I request you to be so kind as to settle the matter at an early date.

After my father's death when my brother was still alive and was obtaining the stipend a statement was submitted, which if kindly referred to will give plain explanation of everything. There is no margin left there for further questions for a division in the stipend referred to.

Early orders for the settlement of the stipend are solicited for which I shall ever feel highly grateful.

I beg to remain,

Most Respected Sir,

Yours most obedient Servant,

Mohd Jubbar Khan

(sd.) Mohamad Jubbar khan,

New market Road,

BANGALORE.

(2)

G.R.S. 26]

Reminder
No 76277
dt 22-7-11

307
File No. 10
Serial No. 4

27/5

Diary No. of letter replied to 4451

No. H 5889
dt 30-5-11

General and Revenue Secretariat
(SHA)
, the 19 .

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore Distt.

Date of issue 30-5-11

Signed by W L Thomas Sir,

In continuation of ~~Advertising &c~~ this office letter No H. 899/SHA 127-06 dt 5th August 1909, I am directed to forward herewith ~~a copy of~~ petition dt 21-5-11 received from Mahamed Jabbar Khan of the Sanjapur Jaghir family regarding the non-payment of his share of pension by his nephew M. Gaffar Khan

over.

and

SUBJECT.

and ~~to enquire~~ request he be informed whether

1) whether the order of Govt- the Baramahdar has failed to pay the pensions to the Muhammadan persons entitled to it;

conveyed in letter No. H. 597 dt 5-8-09 was communicated to the Baramahdar & the other Claimants, and

2) if the Baramahdar ~~has not paid~~ has failed to pay the Shares due to the petitioner & others

~~3)~~ why no report was ~~made~~ called for to Govt. for the division of the pension in his memorandum.

2. Me apply to the queries together with a report for ~~the~~ dividing the pension to the other members of the family according to Muhammadan Laws as also a Genealogical tree of the family should be submitted at an early date.

Report as to the various Claimants under the Muhammadan law together with the amounts due to them may be called for from the Baramahdar & orders passed thereon.

Have ch 5

CSI

Chg.

4

2355

CHq: Secretary.

CSI

List of enclosures,

Mil-85
13-5-11

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—26-9-10

4457 (3)

G.R.S. 37]

Form C.

No. H 6037
14-6-11

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT, the 190 } To

Mahamed Jabbar Khan
Sarjapur Jaghir Pensioner,
New Market-Road,
Cttn Stn, Bangalore

OFFICE MEMORANDUM.

The undersigned is directed to inform

The petitioner

* Dated 4th May 1911

Subject

Regarding the non payment of his Share of pension by his nephew M. Gaffar Khan.

that his petition* ~~has been transferred to the~~ will be disposed of on receipt of ~~for disposal~~. a report from the D.C. Bangalore.

By order,
for Secy: to Govt.

Mul-85
10-6-11.
AMG

13/6/11

MGCPB—3,000—16-2-05

(6

No. 692

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Jeeun Hill, 1st September 1911

DIARY No. 829

1911-12
SECTION Sta
FILE No. 307
SERIAL No. 6

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Department (Br: II), Bangalore, for disposal.

No 249 of 11-12

By Order,

Mirza M. Ismail

~~P.S.~~

~~For~~ *Private Secretary*
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Enclosures below

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
21 AUG 1911
TO H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

214/11

PS
Dec. 31.8.11

To ~~the~~
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Petition from Aminabi widow of the late Abdul Aziz Khan (Son of Burramuddin Khan) pensioner of Sirjapur Jagheer of the annual 79, residing at door no 56, old Koracherry Palya, near C & M Station.

Out of the allowance of Sirjapur Jagheer, a sum of Rs 5-13-1 p.m is being distributed to Gaffar Khan, at the annual 74 rate.

My late husband, who gave complaint to to 79, Mr & Dewan against the Resident's applicant viz Gaffar Khan, for ~~was not having given his half~~ the ~~Equal Share of the pension~~ having denied to give the half share due to him, died of Plague.

~~Certain we are reduced~~ Consequently myself & my two daughters having no means to maintain ourselves ~~repeated~~ repeated the said Gaffar Khan to give us our dues; and to this effect I have ~~both~~ a ~~complaint~~ been petitioning to the 79. Mr & Govt ever since 1908 but all in vain. I ~~also requested~~ also requested that, till the matter is decided the pension to Gaffar ~~be stopped~~ might be stopped ~~pending~~ payment ~~virtual that~~ it is regularly being paid to him.

I have been experiencing much difficulty without any source of livelihood, & therefore pray that my share of pension be separately regulated for my name.

II

27/10 HM

19

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secretary to Government
General & Rev: Depts

Dated 25th
Despatched 26th } October 1911.
Received

General No.
G. No. 144.

Enclosure No. 1 file of Vernp.

Reporting on the
division of the Sarjapur
jaghir Pension enjoyed
by M. Gaffar Idhan
among various members
of the family—

1424

11 12

8th

307-10

G.I.R.—1,500—20.8.11

S. No: 1

Bangalore District,
Deputy Commissioner's office
Dated 25th October 1911

From

B. J. Kumaraswami Naik Esq., B.A.
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

1424
11 12
5 to
30 1

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.
Offg: Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir

With reference to correspondence ending with your No: 11 5889 dated 30th May 1911, S. No: 14
S & A 30 / 10-4
forwarding petition presented by one Mohomed Gabbar Khan of the Sarjapur Jahagir Family complaining of the non payment of his share of pension by his nephew, M. Gaffar Khan etc., I have the honor to submit herewith the Vernacular records bearing on the subject and to state as follows:—

M

As directed in your letter No: 899
S & A 127-06-47
dated 5.8.1909 the Amildar of the Anekal Taluk was instructed to inform M. Gaffar Khan referred to above that the Jahgir stipend in question is for the benefit of the family and not for the exclusive enjoyment by the holder of the Stipend and in case of the Karavardar's failure to satisfy the legitimate claims of the members of the family, the pension will be divided and registered in the names of the proper claimants.

Thereupon Gaffar Khan, the present pension

pension barawardar who appeared before this office on 7th December 1910, has given a statement to the effect that he has no objection to the pension being divided according to the Mohamadan Law among the present claimants viz: himself, M. Jabbar Khan and Aminabi, the other two petitioners.

Aminabi widow of Abdul Aziz Khan states in her statement that she has only two minor daughters but no sons to protect her and claims pension from the date of the death of her husband. She also states that the pension should be divided and distributed among the three claimants referred to above. Jabbar Khan however states that the above Aminabi has no right to claim a share in the pension registered in the name of Gaffar Khan.

Under these circumstances, I herewith submit the entire file of records for the orders of Government regarding the distribution of the pension registered in the name of Gaffar Khan among the contending parties specified above.

A genealogical table based on the statements given by Mahamad Jabbar Khan and Mohamed Gaffar Khan —

(vide

(vide A & B) is noted in the margin

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

[illegible]

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Galandar Khan I

Gaffur Khan I (dead)

Mahmud Barmandin (deceased)

Abdul Aziz Khan — wife Amina Bee

Ahmed Khan

II Galandhin (dead)

Jaffar Khan

Gaffur Khan II

Wahid Khan

Rahid Khan

Sirajeddin

G.R.S. 28.]

File No. 307-10

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to 1424

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(SM)

V

, *the* 19

Date of issue 16.5.1 Jagir

Signed by

READ--

G.O. No P.P. 15-16 d. 18th August 1900 sanctioning the Sanjapur a State pension of ~~Rs~~ 5-13-1 p.m. to M. Gaffar Khan of the Sanjapur Jaghir Family;

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

2. Correspondence ending with letter No S-164 d. 25th October 1911 from the D.C. Bangalore D reporting on the petitions of M. Jabbar Khan & Amina Bi Com-

over Complaining

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000—19-4-10

Copied

Complainant of about the non-payment to them of their shares of pention by M. Gaffar Khan.

Order Thereon: [illegible] Rs 16-5-12

Govt. are pleased to direct that the Sanjapur Japhir pention of Rs 5-13-1 sanctioned to M. Gaffar Khan in G.O. No P.P. 15-16 of 18th August 1900 be divided among ~~to~~ the ~~other~~ members of the family as follows:—

1). M. Gaffar Khan & his brothers — ~~Rs~~ 4-0-0.

2). M. Jabbar Khan — — Rs 1-7-3.

3). Ameena Bi widow of Abdul Aziz Khan — ~~Rs~~ 0-5-10

~~Rs~~ 5-13-1.

2. The Comptroller is requested to issue ~~a~~ fresh stipendiary orders to the different shares accordingly as directed above.

3. The Dy: Comm^r, Bangalore Dt: is directed to disburse the pention as ordered above from the date ~~from~~ on which ~~the~~ M. Gaffar Khan's pention was stopped from payment.

8 May 22.

Cfz

Addl-SS.
The 11th instant

Sd/- Secretary.

To D.C. Bangalore returning the records.
To Comptroller Calicut.

G.R.S. 26] 231

Draft

File No. 307/10

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1426

No. 45047

General and Revenue Secretariat

(SM)

, *the* 19 .

Date of issue 15-5-12

Signed by ms k. nagarjan

To

M. Jabbar Khan Saib,

Sanjapur Jaghir Pensioner,

New Market Road,

Cantonment, Bangalore.

With reference to his petition dated 4th May 1911, the separation of the distribution of the Sanjapur Jaghir Pension granted to M. Jaffar Khan Saib, the petitioner is informed that after full consideration have directed the distribution of the pension of

over.

SUBJECT.

of Rs 5-13-1 h.m. lately sanctioned to M. Gaffar Khan as follows:—

1). M. Gaffar Khan & his brothers — Rs 4-0-0.

2). M. Jabbar Khan — Rs 1-7-3.

3). Ameena Bee widow of Khan Aziz Khan — Rs 0-5-10.

Rs 5-13-1.

By order,

Dy Asst: Secretary.

MC-85 letter (91) 21-5-XII

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-2-11.

G.R.S. 26] 275

2110

File No. 307/10

Serial No,

Diary No. of letter replied to 1626

No. H 50648

General and Revenue Secretariat

(SH)

, *the* 19 .

Date of issue 25-5-12

Signed by m k mudaliar ao

To

Mussammat Ameena Bi,
widow of late Abdul Aziz Khan,
Sanjaghir Jaghir Family,
No 56, Old Kovrachavadiya,
Chintadripet, Bangalore

With reference to her petition of the 21st August 1911 addressed to the Private Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ H.H. the Maharaja, the petitioner is informed that after full consideration have sanctioned

SUBJECT.

-Sanctioned her a pension of Rs 0-5-10 out of the pension drawn by M. Gaffar Khan -

Bycrola,

12/2/15

for dly: Secretary,

MLC-ST

21-5-XII-

List of enclosures,

Copied by B.G. 2/15

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-2-11.